UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/528,806	06/14/2005	Jan Menne	37998-237159	37998-237159 6218	
<sup>26694</sup> VENABLE LL	7590 05/30/2007 P		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 34385			WEN, SH	WEN, SHARON X	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1609		
				•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/528,806	MENNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharon Wen	1609				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 C</u>	October 2006					
	s action is non-final.					
<u></u>	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9-44 and 56-61</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-2, 9-44 and 56-61</u> are subject to re-	striction and/or election requireme	ent.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/528,806

Art Unit: 1609

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Applicant is <u>required to elect a single species of PKC</u> (e.g. alpha OR beta) in the claimed invention.

In addition to the above election of PKC, Applicant is required to elect a single agent recited in the present claims, for example:

- A) nucleic acid which reduces or inhibits the expression of PKC,
- B) substance which inhibits the translocation of PKC,
- C) antagonist of PKC, OR
- D) inhibitor of PKC.

## In addition to the above election of agent:

- i) if B is election, Applicant is required to elect an ultimate species of "substance" recited in claims 20-21 (e.g. TPA);
- ii) if C is elected, Applicant is required to elect an ultimate species of "antagonist" recited in claims 27 and 44 and on page 19-20 of the specification (e.g. derivative OR analogue); AND
- ii) if D is elected, Applicant is required to elect an ultimate species of "<u>inhibitor</u>" recited in claims 22-26 and 40-43 (e.g. antibody or tocopherol).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,806 Page 3

Art Unit: 1609

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 2. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: PCT Rule 13.2 defines "special technical features" as "those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, make over the prior art."
- 3. The uniting technical feature of the present claimed invention is treating cardiovascular disease using an agent that inhibits PKC. Wang et al. teaches using a 21-mer antisense PKC-alpha which inhibits PKC-alpha for treating cardiovascular disease (Circulation Research, 2002, 90:609-616, see entire document). Therefore no special technical feature of the present invention provides contribution over the prior art.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,806

Art Unit: 1609

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Sharon Wen, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

May 24, 2007

PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D

Page 4

PRIMARY EXAMINER